

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wopto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/581,565	11/14/2006	Kei Mizuno	5426SI-2	6198
22442 7590 08/19/2009 SHERIDAN ROSS PC		EXAMINER		
1560 BROADWAY			GOUGH, TIFFANY MAUREEN	
SUITE 1200 DENVER, CO	80202		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1657	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/19/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)					
10/581,565	MIZUNO ET AL.					
Examiner	Art Unit					
TIFFANY M. GOUGH	1657					

	TIFFANY M. GOUGH	1657					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress				
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(s). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SX (6) (MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to epily within the soft or endeded proted for endy with by stateles, cause the application to become ABANDONED (36 USC. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned pattern term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.74(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Ma	<u>ay 2009</u> .						
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under E.	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-7 and 16-19 is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8-15</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P1	TO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.						
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
	·						
Attachment(s)							

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Historication Disclosure Statement(s) (PTOISSIGE)
 - Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/2/2006.
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application. 6) Other: _

Application/Control Number: 10/581,565 Page 2

Art Unit: 1657

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of claims 8-15 in the reply filed on 5/20/2009 is acknowledged.

Claims 1-7, 16-19 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention.

Claims 8-15 have been examined on the merits herein.

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 8-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for evaluating a degree of fatigue in a human, does not reasonably provide enablement for evaluating a degree of fatigue in any "organism". The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to practice the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. An organism is defined as any living system such as an animal, plant, fungus or microorganism including unicellular and multicellular

Art Unit: 1657

organisms. Not all organisms have body fluid from which amino acid concentrations can be measured and used in the instant invention, nor would all organisms be capable of experiencing fatigue, in particular mental fatigue. The sole examples provided in the disclosure teach measuring amino acids from bodily fluids of a human and in addition measuring before and after fatigue loading. Given the differences among "organisms", one of ordinary skill in the art would not expect to be able to practice the invention with any organism encompassed by the current claim language. Thus, with the exception of the humans, and in view of the lack of any specific guidance with respect to how one would practice the invention with any organism, other than what is encompassed by the claims, one skilled in the art would expect a trial and error process to determine organism encompassed by the claims would apply to the as disclosed application, and would further have to determine through undue experimentation, without guidance from the specification, how to perform such a method.

Undue experimentation would be required to practice the invention as claimed due to the quantity of experimentation necessary, limited amount of guidance and limited number of working examples in the specification; nature of the invention; state of the prior art; predictability or unpredictability in the art; and breadth of the claims. In re Wands, 8 USPQ2d 1400, 1404 (Fed. Cir. 1988).

Art Unit: 1657

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 8 and dependent claims 9-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential steps, such omission amounting to a gap between the steps. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted steps are: how fatigue is determined from using the index indicative of a concentration of amino acids in a body fluid of the organism. At present, the claim merely requires using an index, but there is no step indicating what one does with the index and how one would use the index to evaluate the degree of fatigue.

Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The term "substantially" in claim 13 is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The term "substantially" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention.

Art Unit: 1657

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 8, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by McGuire et al. (BRB, 2003, p. 125-130).

Applicant claims a fatigue evaluation method for evaluating a degree of fatigue in an organism comprising using an index indicative of a concentration of amino acid in a body fluid such as blood, saliva, cerebrospinal fluid, and urine from the organism. The fatigue is evaluated by using a change in concentration of amino acid as an index before and after fatigue loading. Applicants also claim the method to further include providing a kit for carrying out the method.

McGuire teach a fatigue evaluation method for evaluating a degree of fatigue in an organism comprising using an index indicative of a concentration of amino acid in a body fluid from the organism. McGuire teach measuring a change in tryptophan and branched chain amino acids before and after surgery. Patients were evaluated for fatigue levels by evaluating blood samples and fatigue questionnaires (p. 126-130). McGuire uses a "fatigue evaluation kit" to practice the disclosed method.

Art Unit: 1657

Claims 8-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lord et al. (Journal of Applied Nutrition, 1994).

Lord teach a fatigue evaluation method for evaluating a degree of fatigue in an organism comprising using an index indicative of a concentration of amino acid in a body fluid from the organism. Lord teach measuring in fatigue patients a change in amino acids before and after amino acid mixture treatments. Patients were evaluated by measuring amino acid levels of plasma which were reported to be below reference ranges (p. 1-5). Lord uses a "fatigue evaluation kit" to practice the disclosed method.

Thus, the reference anticipates the claimed invention.

Claims 8-12, 14, 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kingsbury et al. (British Journal of Sports medicine, 1994).

Kingsbury teach a fatigue evaluation method for evaluating a degree of fatigue in an organism comprising using an index indicative of a concentration of amino acid in a body fluid from the organism. Kingsbury teach measuring in fatigue patients a change in amino acids before and after fatigue loading, i.e. Olympic training. Patients were evaluated by amino acid profiling (p.26-31 Tables 1-4, especially 1, Fig. 1,2) which were reported to be below reference levels. Kingsbury uses a "fatigue evaluation kit" to practice the disclosed method.

Art Unit: 1657

Claims 8-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by JP11-304792 (cited on IDS).

JP'792 teach a fatigue evaluation method for evaluating a degree of fatigue in an organism comprising using an index indicative of a concentration of amino acid in a body fluid from the organism. JP'792 teach measuring a change in alanine levels in blood from animals before and after fatigue loading (0012-0022 of translated document). JP'792 uses a "fatigue evaluation kit" to practice the disclosed method.

Thus, the reference anticipates the claimed invention.

Claims 8,11-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by JP11-304793 (cited on IDS).

JP'793 teach a fatigue evaluation method for evaluating a degree of mental fatigue in an organism comprising using an index indicative of a concentration of amino acid in a body fluid from the organism. JP'793 teach measuring a change amino acid levels in blood from animals before and after fatigue loading (0012-0022 of translated document). JP'793 uses a "fatigue evaluation kit" to practice the disclosed method.

Art Unit: 1657

Claims 8, 11, 12, 14, 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Struder et al. (Eur. J. Applied Physiology, 1999, cited on IDS).

Struder teach a fatigue evaluation method for evaluating a degree of fatigue in an organism comprising using an index indicative of a concentration of amino acid in a body fluid from the organism. Struder teach measuring fatigue in patients by measuring a change in amino acids before and after fatigue loading, i.e. exercise. Patients were evaluated by measuring changes in tryptophan and BCAA (p.319-322, Methods section, Table 2, Results section). Struder uses a "fatigue evaluation kit" to practice the disclosed method.

Thus, the reference anticipates the claimed invention.

Claims 8-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Blomstrand, Amino Acids 2001, p.25-34).

Blomstrand teaches a fatigue evaluation method for evaluating a degree of mental fatigue in an organism comprising using an index indicative of a concentration of amino acid in a body fluid from the organism. Blomstrand teaches measuring a change amino acid levels in blood from humans before and after fatigue loading. Blomstrand teaches a decrease in branched chain amino acids (BCAA) during and after exercise and also reports of mental fatigue after such physical conditions (p.27-30, table 1, Fig.

1). Blomstrand uses a "fatigue evaluation kit" to practice the disclosed method.

Art Unit: 1657

Conclusion

No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TIFFANY M. GOUGH whose telephone number is (571)272-0697. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jon Weber can be reached on 571-272-0925. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Ralph Gitomer/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1657

/Tiffany M Gough/ Examiner, Art Unit 1657